

# The Factor Structure and Severity of DSM-IV Alcohol Abuse and Dependence Symptoms in Psychiatric Outpatients\*

LARA A. RAY, PH.D.,<sup>†</sup> CHRISTOPHER W. KAHLER, PH.D., DIANE YOUNG, PH.D.,<sup>†</sup> IWONA CHELMINSKI, PH.D.,<sup>†</sup> AND MARK ZIMMERMAN, M.D.<sup>†</sup>

*Center for Alcohol and Addiction Studies, Box G-S121-4, Brown University, Providence, Rhode Island 02912*

**ABSTRACT. Objective:** The goal of the present study was to examine the factor structure and estimated severity of alcohol-use disorder (AUD) symptoms in a sample of treatment-seeking psychiatric outpatients. **Method:** Participants ( $n = 1,027$ ; 51.2% women) met the screening criteria for the lifetime assessment of AUDs according to the Structured Clinical Interview for the DSM-IV (SCID-I/P; First et al., 1995) and as a result completed an assessment of alcohol abuse and dependence symptoms. The average (SD) age of the sample was 36.6 (11.4) years, and 71% of participants met lifetime DSM-IV criteria for an AUD. **Results:** Exploratory factor analysis of the tetrachoric correlation matrix of alcohol abuse and dependence criteria revealed that a single factor

best accounted for the data in this sample. Results of Rasch model analyses indicated that the severity ordering of the DSM-IV abuse and dependence symptoms was not consistent with the hierarchical structure suggested by the DSM-IV. Instead, abuse items were found to be spread across a full range of the AUD continuum and were not consistently in the lower ranges of severity. **Conclusions:** This study extends the literature by examining a treatment-seeking psychiatric outpatient sample and using a semistructured diagnostic interview administered by mental health professionals. Methodological considerations and implications for the conceptualization of AUD are discussed. (*J. Stud. Alcohol Drugs* 69: 496-499, 2008)

ALCOHOL-USE DISORDERS (AUDs) are currently classified by the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fourth Edition (DSM-IV; American Psychiatric Association, 1994), according to a bi-axial approach in which alcohol abuse represents one axis and alcohol dependence represents another (Edwards and Gross, 1976). The two axes are not considered independent and instead tend to co-occur in some, but not all, cases (Hasin and Grant, 2004). The DSM-IV is largely based in the alcohol dependence syndrome (ADS; Edwards and Gross, 1976), although the ADS is dimensional in nature, whereas the DSM-IV is categorical. A number of studies to date have examined the underlying dimensionality of AUD symptoms, with somewhat mixed results.

Some studies have found support for a one-factor solution, indicating that a single factor best fits the data on AUD criteria (e.g., Caetano and Schafer, 1996; Morgenstern et al., 1994; Proudfoot et al., 2006), whereas other studies have suggested that additional factors may improve the model fit and therefore may be required to capture the vari-

ability in AUD symptomatology (e.g., Grant et al., 2007; Harford and Muthén, 2001; Muthén, 1995; Muthén et al., 1993; Nelson et al., 1999; O'Neill et al., 2003). The aforementioned studies vary with regard to important methodological aspects, such as sample characteristics (e.g., general population, community, alcohol/drug treatment samples) and assessment methods (e.g., structured diagnostic interviews, semistructured interviews, use of single or multiple items to assess each symptom). Differences in assessment method are important; a recent study by Kahler and Strong (2006) found that the prevalence and the meaning of AUD criteria can differ markedly depending on the wording of the assessment instrument.

Although previous studies have examined the factor structure of AUD criteria in alcohol/drug treatment samples (e.g., Caetano and Schafer, 1996; Morgenstern et al., 1994), no studies have examined psychiatric outpatients. In addition, most studies to date have relied on structured diagnostic batteries administered by lay interviewers, as opposed to semistructured clinical interviews conducted by mental health professionals. In summary, a number of questions about the factor structure of AUDs have been raised and examined in previous research; yet, given the inconsistency in the results to date and the importance of considering assessment methods (Kahler and Strong, 2006), further work with psychiatric outpatients and focusing on semistructured clinical interviews, such as the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV disorders (SCID-I/P; First et al., 1995), seems warranted. To that end, the goals of the present

Received: October 15, 2007. Revision: March 7, 2008.

\*The MIDAS Project was supported, in part, by National Institute of Mental Health grants MH48732 and MH56404. Lara A. Ray was supported by National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism training grant T32 AA007459.

<sup>†</sup>Correspondence may be sent to Lara A. Ray at the above address or via email at: Lara\_Ray@Brown.edu. Diane Young, Iwona Chelminski, and Mark Zimmerman are with the Department of Psychiatry and Human Behavior, Brown University Medical School, Providence, RI.

report from the Rhode Island Methods to Improve Diagnostic Assessment and Service (MIDAS) project are to (1) examine the factor structure of DSM-IV AUD symptoms in a sample of treatment-seeking psychiatric outpatients who met the SCID's screening criteria for the lifetime assessment of AUDs and (2) examine the relative severity and ordering of the SCID items used to assess AUD symptoms by conducting item response analyses using the Rasch model.

### Method

Participants were recruited from the Rhode Island Hospital Department of Psychiatry's outpatient practice (Zimmerman, 2003). To the best of our knowledge, the MIDAS Project is the largest clinical epidemiological study conducting semistructured interviews in a general clinical outpatient practice. In an initial telephone screen, patients were invited to participate in a face-to-face diagnostic evaluation before meeting with their treating clinician. The current report is based on 1,027 (51.2% women) patients who met the SCID's screening criteria for the lifetime assessment of AUDs and for whom AUD symptom-level data were available. DSM-IV Axis I diagnoses were obtained using the SCID-I/P (First et al., 1995), and single items, followed by standard probes, were used to assess each AUD criterion. The MIDAS project purposefully did not follow the traditional skip rules recommended in the SCID to more fully evaluate all AUD symptoms. Therefore, every individual who met the SCID's screening criteria for lifetime AUDs was asked about all 11 possible AUD symptoms. The interrater reliability of the diagnoses in the MIDAS study is adequate (Zimmerman and Mattia, 1999; Zimmerman et al., 2005), with a previously reported kappa coefficient ( $\kappa = .64$  for AUD) (Zimmerman et al., 2008). The Rhode Island Hospital Institutional Review Board approved the research protocol, and, after complete description of the study, written informed consent was obtained from each participant.

To address the first study objective regarding the factor structure of AUD symptoms assessed by the SCID, the tetrachoric correlation matrix was analyzed, as recommended for dichotomous symptom data (see Muthén, 1989). Exploratory factor analysis (EFA) and weighted least squares estimation were used. Initial values for the factor loading matrix in EFA were based on a principal iterated common factor analysis solution for the tetrachoric correlation matrix, and oblique (Promax) rotation was subsequently used to obtain factor structures that would be interpretable. Individual items (i.e., AUD symptoms assessed by the SCID) were retained if their factor loading was  $\geq .40$ , and examination of factor loadings and the Scree plot were used to determine the dimensionality of the factor structure. Analyses were performed using SAS statistical software, Version

8.2 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC). The second study objective was tested by fitting AUD criteria to a unidimensional additive Rasch model (Rasch, 1960) and obtaining severity estimates and infit statistics. Rasch model analyses were conducted using BIGSTEPS (Linacre and Wright, 1998). Items are said to fit the model well when infit statistics fall within the range of 0.60 to 1.40 (Wright and Linacre, 1994), and item severity is an indication of the degree of AUD that is needed before a particular symptom is likely to be present. Item severity estimates are standardized so that the average severity of items has a value of 0 (1.4). The logit values for severity provide an equal interval metric for assessing the severity of each item relative to the other items.

### Results

Of the initial MIDAS sample of 1,800 patients, 1,027 (57%) met the SCID's entry criteria for the assessment of lifetime AUDs and were therefore included in this study. The average (SD) age of the sample was 36.6 (11.4) years; 89% of the sample was white; and 45% of the participants were married or cohabitating, 33% had never married, and 22% were separated/divorced/widowed. Of the 1,027 (51.2% women) study participants, 327 (32%) met DSM-IV criteria for lifetime alcohol abuse only, 363 (35%) met criteria for lifetime alcohol dependence plus alcohol abuse, 38 (4%) met lifetime criteria for alcohol dependence only, 169 (16%) did not meet criteria for an AUD, and 130 (13%) were considered "diagnostic orphans" (Eng et al., 2003), as they endorsed one or two symptoms of alcohol dependence and did not meet criteria for alcohol abuse. The average AUD symptom count in the sample was 3.5 (3.1) (range: 0-11). The principal diagnoses in the study sample were 48% depressive disorder, 17% anxiety disorders, 7% bipolar disorder, 7% AUDs, and 21% other diagnoses.

EFA using the tetrachoric correlation matrix supported a single-factor solution for the DSM-IV alcohol abuse and dependence criteria. Examination of Scree plots and eigenvalues revealed that only one factor had an eigenvalue greater than 1.0. Specifically, the first factor had an eigenvalue of 6.3, whereas the second factor had an eigenvalue of 0.6, suggesting that a single-factor solution was most appropriate for these data and accounted for 90.5% of the explained indicator variance. All items substantially loaded on the single factor reaching the a priori factor loading of 0.40 (range: 0.57-0.90; see Table 1). Cronbach's  $\alpha$  for the 11 AUD criteria was .85.

The 11 AUD criteria fit a Rasch model well, with infit values ranging from 0.8 to 1.3, which is within the target range of 0.6 to 1.4 (Wright and Linacre, 1994). Item severity ranged from -1.74 to 2.08 (mean = 0.0 [1.4]) (see Table 1). The ordering of abuse and dependence symptoms was not consistent with the hierarchical structure suggested by

TABLE 1. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fourth Edition (DSM-IV) criteria, rates of lifetime endorsement, standardized factor loadings for the single factor model, and Rasch model measurement parameters

DSM-IV criteria	Description	Prevalence (SE)	Factor loadings	Severity estimate (SE)	Item-total <sup>a</sup> (infit)
Major role	Failure to fulfill major role obligation (AB1)	0.37 (0.02)	.75*	0.01 (0.08)	0.46 (1.0)
Hazard	Recurrent use in physically dangerous situations (AB2)	0.67 (0.02)	.57*	-1.74 (0.08)	0.17 (1.3)
Legal	Recurrent alcohol-related legal problem (AB3)	0.13 (0.01)	.70*	2.08 (0.12)	0.31 (1.1)
Social	Continued use despite social or interpersonal problems (AB4)	0.36 (0.02)	.77*	0.08 (0.08)	0.47 (1.0)
Larger	Using alcohol in larger amounts than intended (DP1)	0.50 (0.02)	.67*	-0.76 (0.08)	0.37 (1.1)
Cut down	Persistent desire/unsuccessful efforts to cut down (DP2)	0.31 (0.02)	.80*	0.42 (0.09)	0.51 (0.9)
Time spent	A great deal of time spent on alcohol use (DP3)	0.50 (0.02)	.80*	-0.77 (0.08)	0.49 (0.9)
Give up	Giving up important activities due to alcohol use (DP4)	0.28 (0.02)	.90*	0.64 (0.09)	0.62 (0.8)
Continue	Continued use despite physical psychological problems (DP5)	0.42 (0.02)	.78*	-0.32 (0.08)	0.48 (1.0)
Tolerance	Tolerance (DP6)	0.50 (0.02)	.69*	-0.77 (0.08)	0.38 (1.1)
Withdrawal	Withdrawal syndrome, or use to relieve withdrawal (DP7)	0.21 (0.01)	.82*	1.13 (0.10)	0.51 (0.9)

Notes: AB = alcohol abuse; DP = alcohol dependence. <sup>a</sup>Item total refers to the point biserial correlation between the item and the sum of the remaining items.

\*Indicates an item with factor loading  $\geq .40$ , which was the a priori cutoff.

the DSM-IV. Instead, alcohol abuse symptom items were spread across the full range of the AUD severity continuum, and the abuse symptom of recurrent alcohol-related legal problems (AB3) had the highest severity estimate (2.08) of all symptoms.

## Discussion

This study examined the factor structure and severity of DSM-IV alcohol abuse and dependence criteria, assessed by the SCID, among treatment-seeking psychiatric outpatients. EFA results indicated that a single-factor solution adequately fit the data in this sample. This study extends findings from epidemiological and alcohol/drug treatment samples by examining the AUD factor structure in a treatment-seeking general psychiatric outpatient sample. This is especially relevant, because seeking treatment is related to a number of clinical, social, and demographic factors (Alegria et al., 2000; Goodwin et al., 2002), suggesting that studies of psychiatric disorders in the general population should be replicated in clinical populations to provide the practicing clinician with information that might have more direct clinical utility. This is the first study to use the SCID as its diagnostic instrument and one of the few to use a semistructured diagnostic interview delivered by mental health professionals. Recent research by Kahler and Strong (2006) found that the prevalence and the meaning of AUD criteria may differ substantially depending on the

wording of the assessment instruments. To that end, it is important to evaluate the factor structure and symptom severity obtained through various diagnostic instruments, such as the widely used SCID.

Results of Rasch model analyses of the AUD symptoms suggest that the severity ordering of abuse and dependence symptoms was not consistent with the hierarchical structure suggested by the DSM-IV. If that were the case, we would observe lower severity estimates for alcohol abuse relative to alcohol dependence symptoms. Instead, alcohol-abuse symptoms were found to be spread across a full range of the AUD continuum and were not consistently in the lower ranges of severity. These findings were consistent with those of Kahler and Strong (2006) examining epidemiological data from the NESARC study (Grant et al., 2003). Importantly, the fact that the data fit a Rasch model well provides direct evidence that AUD symptoms can index case severity in an additive fashion across a continuum of alcohol problem severity.

One of the major implications of the unidimensional nature and estimated severity of DSM-IV alcohol abuse and dependence criteria is to inform future revisions of the DSM. Specifically, these results suggest that a single factor, or dimension, accounts for most of the variability in AUD criteria, whereas the current DSM-IV classification of AUD is categorical in nature. As noted by Saha et al. (2006), the development of dimensional measures of AUDs holds promise for research in the neurobiology and genetics of AUDs,

given that the current categorical diagnostic phenotypes have posed considerable challenges to those fields (Gottesman and Gould, 2003; Hines et al., 2005).

These results should be interpreted in the context of the study's strengths and limitations. Limitations include the cross-sectional retrospective design and the focus on lifetime as opposed to current symptoms, which renders the assessments more vulnerable to recall biases. Nevertheless, recent research has suggested that lifetime and current diagnostic assessments yield comparable results (Kahler and Strong, 2006; O'Neill et al., 2003). Study strengths include the use of clinical data culled from a semistructured interview in the sample of treatment-seeking psychiatric outpatients.

In conclusion, these findings provide further support for the unidimensional nature of DSM-IV AUD criteria and suggest that symptom severity did not conform to the current DSM-IV hierarchy between alcohol abuse and dependence. These results also highlight important assessment and methodological issues, such as the need to consider sample characteristics and assessment instruments for their impact on AUD symptoms. Future studies are needed to capture more fully these methodological differences and ultimately inform future revisions of the DSM and improve the assessment of alcohol pathology.

## References

- ALEGRIA, M., BUI, R.V., LIN, E., WALTERS, E.E., AND KESSLER, R.C. Income differences in persons seeking outpatient treatment for mental disorders: A comparison of the United States with Ontario and the Netherlands. *Arch. Gen. Psychiat.* **57**: 383-391, 2000.
- AMERICAN PSYCHIATRIC ASSOCIATION. *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-IV)*, Washington, DC, 1994.
- CAETANO, R. AND SCHAFFER, J. DSM-IV alcohol dependence in a treatment sample of white, black, and Mexican-American men. *Alcsm Clin. Exp. Res.* **20**: 384-390, 1996.
- EDWARDS, G. AND GROSS, M.M. Alcohol dependence: Provisional description of a clinical syndrome. *Brit. Med. J.* **1**: 1058-1061, 1976.
- ENG, M.Y., SCHUCKIT, M.A., AND SMITH, T.L. A five-year prospective study of diagnostic orphans for alcohol use disorders. *J. Stud. Alcohol* **64**: 227-234, 2003.
- FIRST, M.B., SPITZER, R.L., GIBBON, M., AND WILLIAMS, J.B.W. *Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Axis I Disorders, Patient Edition (SCID-I/P, Version 2.0)*, New York: Biometrics Research Department, New York State Psychiatric Institute, 1995.
- GOODWIN, R.D., HOVEN, C.W., LYONS, J.S., AND STEIN, M.B. Mental health service utilization in the United States: The role of personality factors. *Social Psychiat. Psychiat. Epidemiol.* **37**: 561-566, 2002.
- GOTTESMAN, I.I. AND GOULD, T.D. The endophenotype concept in psychiatry: Etymology and strategic intentions. *Amer. J. Psychiat.* **160**: 636-645, 2003.
- GRANT, B.F., HARFORD, T.C., MUTHÉN, B.O., YI, H.Y., HASIN, D.S., AND STINSON, F.S. DSM-IV alcohol dependence and abuse: Further evidence of validity in the general population. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* **86**: 154-166, 2007.
- GRANT, B.F., KAPLAN, K., SHEPARD, J., AND MOORE, T.C. Source and Accuracy Statement for Wave 1 of the 2001-2002 National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions, Bethesda, MD: National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism, 2003.
- HARFORD, T.C. AND MUTHÉN, B.O. The dimensionality of alcohol abuse and dependence: A multivariate analysis of DSM-IV symptom items in the National Longitudinal Survey of Youth. *J. Stud. Alcohol* **62**: 150-157, 2001.
- HASIN, D.S. AND GRANT, B.F. The co-occurrence of DSM-IV alcohol abuse in DSM-IV alcohol dependence: Results of the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions on heterogeneity that differ by population subgroup. *Arch. Gen. Psychiat.* **61**: 891-896, 2004.
- HINES, L.M., RAY, L., HUTCHISON, K., AND TABAKOFF, B. Alcoholism: The dissection for endophenotypes. *Dialog. Clin. Neurosci.* **7**: 153-163, 2005.
- KAHLER, C.W. AND STRONG, D.R. A Rasch model analysis of DSM-IV alcohol abuse and dependence items in the National Epidemiological Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions. *Alcsm Clin. Exp. Res.* **30**: 1165-1175, 2006.
- LINACRE, J.M. AND WRIGHT, B.D. *A User's Guide to BIGSTEPS: Rasch-Model Computer Program*, Chicago, IL: MESA Press, 1998.
- MORGENSTERN, J., LANGENBUCHER, J., AND LABOUVIE, E.W. The generalizability of the dependence syndrome across substances: An examination of some properties of the proposed DSM-IV dependence criteria. *Addiction* **89**: 1105-1113, 1994.
- MUTHÉN, B.O. Dichotomous factor analysis of symptom data. *Sociol. Meth. Res.* **18**: 19-65, 1989.
- MUTHÉN, B.O. Factor analysis of alcohol abuse and dependence symptom items in the 1988 National Health Interview survey. *Addiction* **90**: 637-645, 1995.
- MUTHÉN, B.O., GRANT, B., AND HASIN, D. The dimensionality of alcohol abuse and dependence: Factor analysis of DSM-III-R and proposed DSM-IV criteria in the 1988 National Health Interview Survey. *Addiction* **88**: 1079-1090, 1993.
- NELSON, C.B., REHM, J., ÜSTÜN, T.B., GRANT, B., AND CHATTERJI, S. Factor structures for DSM-IV substance disorder criteria endorsed by alcohol, cannabis, cocaine and opiate users: Results from the WHO Reliability and Validity Study. *Addiction* **94**: 843-855, 1999.
- O'NEILL, S.E., SHER, K.J., JACKSON, K.M., AND WOOD, P.K. Dimensionality of alcohol dependence in young adulthood: Current versus lifetime symptomatology. *J. Stud. Alcohol* **64**: 495-499, 2003.
- PROUDFOOT, H., BAILLIE, A.J., AND TEESSON, M. The structure of alcohol dependence in the community. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* **81**: 21-26, 2006.
- RASCH, G. *Probabilistic Models for Some Intelligence and Attainment Tests*, Copenhagen, Denmark: Denmarks Paedagogiske Institut, 1960.
- SAHA, T.D., CHOU, S.P., AND GRANT, B.F. Toward an alcohol use disorder continuum using item response theory: Results from the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions. *Psychol. Med.* **36**: 931-941, 2006.
- WRIGHT, B.D. AND LINACRE, J.M. Reasonable mean-square fit values. *Rasch Meas. Transact.* **8**: 370, 1994.
- ZIMMERMAN, M. Integrating the assessment methods of researchers in routine clinical practice: The Rhode Island Methods to Improve Diagnostic Assessment and Services (MIDAS) Project. In: FIRST, M. (Ed.) *Standardized Evaluation in Clinical Practice, Review of Psychiatry*, Vol. 22, Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Press, 2003, pp. 29-31.
- ZIMMERMAN, M., MCGLINCHAY, J.B., CHELMINSKI, I., AND YOUNG, D. Diagnostic co-morbidity in 2,300 psychiatric out-patients presenting for treatment evaluated with a semi-structured diagnostic interview. *Psychol. Med.* **38**: 199-210, 2008.
- ZIMMERMAN, M. AND MATTIA, J.I. Psychiatric diagnosis in clinical practice: Is comorbidity being missed? *Comprehen. Psychiat.* **40**: 182-191, 1999.
- ZIMMERMAN, M., ROTHSCHILD, L., AND CHELMINSKI, I. The prevalence of DSM-IV personality disorders in psychiatric outpatients. *Amer. J. Psychiat.* **162**: 1911-1918, 2005.